arXiv:1605.03453v1 [math.DG] 11 May 2016

NONLINEAR DIRAC EQUATIONS, MONOTONICITY FORMULAS AND LIOUVILLE THEOREMS

VOLKER BRANDING

Abstract. We study the qualitative behavior of nonlinear Dirac equations arising in quantum field theory on complete Riemannian manifolds. In particular, we derive monotonicity formulas and Liouville theorems for solutions of these equations. Finally, we extend our analysis to Dirac-harmonic maps with curvature term.

1. Introduction and results

In quantum field theory spinors are employed to model fermions. The equations that govern the behavior of fermions are both linear and nonlinear Dirac equations. A Dirac equation with vanishing right hand side describes a free massless fermion and linear Dirac equations describe free fermions having a mass. However, to model the interaction of fermions one has to take into account nonlinearities.

In mathematical terms spinors are sections in a vector bundle, the spinor bundle, which is defined on a Riemannian spin manifold. The spin condition is of topological nature and ensures the existence of the spinor bundle ΣM. The mathematical analysis of linear and nonlinear Dirac equations comes with two kinds of difficulties: First of all, the Dirac operator is of first order, such that tools like the maximum principle are not available. Secondly, in contrast to the Laplacian, the Dirac operator has its spectrum on the whole real line.

Below we give a list of energy functionals that arise in quantum field theory. Their critical points all lead to nonlinear Dirac equations. To this end let D be the classical Dirac operator on a Riemannian spin manifold (M, g) of dimension n and e_i an orthonormal basis of TM. Furthermore, let $\cdot$ be the Clifford multiplication of spinors with tangent vectors and $\omega_{\mathbb{C}}$ the complex volume form. Moreover, we fix a hermitian scalar product on the spinor bundle.

(1) The *Soler model* [27] describes fermions that interact by a quartic term in the action functional. In quantum field theory this model is usually studied on four-dimensional Minkowski space:

$$E(\psi) = \int_M (\langle \psi, D\psi \rangle - \lambda |\psi|^2 - \frac{\mu}{2}|\psi|^4) d\mathrm{vol}_g$$

(2) The *Thirring model* [28] describes the self-interaction of fermions in two-dimensional Minkowski space:

$$E(\psi) = \int_M (\langle \psi, D\psi \rangle - \lambda |\psi|^2 - \frac{\mu}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle \psi, e_i \cdot \psi \rangle \langle \psi, e_i \cdot \psi \rangle) d\mathrm{vol}_g$$

(3) The *Nambu–Jona-Lasinio model* [25] is a model for interacting fermions with chiral symmetry. It also contains a quartic interaction term and is defined on an even-dimensional spacetime:

$$E(\psi) = \int_M \big(\langle \psi, D\psi \rangle + \frac{\mu}{4} (|\psi|^4 - \langle \psi, \omega_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \psi \rangle \langle \psi, \omega_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \psi \rangle) \big) d\mathrm{vol}_g$$

Note that this model does not have a term proportional to $|\psi|^2$ in the energy functional.

Date: May 12, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C27, 35J61.

Key words and phrases. nonlinear Dirac equations; monotonicity formulas; Liouville Theorems; Dirac-harmonic maps with curvature term.

(4) The *Gross–Neveu model with N flavors* [17] is a model for N interacting fermions in two-dimensional Minkowski space:

$$E(\psi) = \int_M (\langle \psi, D\psi \rangle - \lambda |\psi|^2 + \frac{\mu}{2N} |\psi|^4) d\mathrm{vol}_g$$

The spinors that we are considering here are twisted spinors, more precisely $\psi \in \Gamma(\Sigma M \otimes \mathbb{R}^N)$.

(5) The nonlinear supersymmetric sigma model consists of a map ϕ between two Riemannian manifolds M and N and a vector spinor $\psi \in \Gamma(\Sigma M \otimes \phi^* TN)$. Moreover, R^N is the curvature tensor on N and $\not{D}$ denotes the Dirac operator acting on vector spinors. The energy functional under consideration is

$$E_c(\phi, \psi) = \int_M (|d\phi|^2 + \langle \psi, \not{D}\psi \rangle - \frac{1}{6} \langle R^N(\psi, \psi)\psi, \psi \rangle) d\mathrm{vol}_g.$$

The critical points of this functional became known in the mathematical literature as *Dirac-harmonic maps with curvature term*.

In the models (1)-(4) from above the real parameter λ can be interpreted as mass, whereas the real constant μ describes the strength of interaction. All of the models listed above lead to nonlinear Dirac equations of the form

$$D\psi \sim \lambda\psi + \mu|\psi|^2\psi. \tag{1.1}$$

Note that in the physical literature Clifford multiplication is usually expressed as matrix multiplication with γ^μ and the complex volume element is referred to as γ^5. In contrast to the physical literature we will always assume that spinors are commuting, whereas in the physical literature they are mostly assumed to be Grassmann-valued. For simplicity we will mainly focus on the Soler model.

Several existence results for equations of the form (1.1) are available: In [18] existence results for nonlinear Dirac equations on compact spin manifolds are obtained. For $n \geq 4$ existence results for nonlinear Dirac equation with critical exponent on compact spin manifolds, that is

$$D\psi = \lambda\psi + |\psi|^{\frac{2}{n-1}}\psi$$

with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, have been obtained in [19]. For $\lambda = 0$ this equation is known as the spinorial Yamabe equation. In particular, this equation is interesting for $n = 2$ since it is closely related to conformally immersed constant mean curvature surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Moreover, existence results for the spinorial Yamabe equation have been obtained on S^3 [21] and on S^n [20] for $n \geq 2$. For a spectral and geometric analysis of the spinorial Yamabe equations we refer to [1]. The regularity of weak solutions of equations of the form (1.1) can be established with the tools from [29] and [19], Appendix A.

This article is organized as follows: In Section 2 we study general properties of nonlinear Dirac equations. In particular, we recall the construction for identifying spinor bundles belonging to different metrics and use this to derive the stress-energy tensor for the Soler model. In Section 3 we study nonlinear Dirac equations on closed manifolds, where we mostly focus on the two-dimensional case. In the fourth section we study nonlinear Dirac equations on complete manifolds: We derive several monotonicity formulas and Liouville theorems. In the last section we focus on Dirac-harmonic maps with curvature term from complete manifolds, for which we again derive several monotonicity formulas and Liouville theorems.

2. Nonlinear Dirac equations on Riemannian manifolds

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian spin manifold of dimension n. A Riemannian manifold admits a spin structure if the second Stiefel-Whitney class of its tangent bundle vanishes.

We briefly recall the basic notions from spin geometry, for a detailed introduction to spin geometry we refer to the book [23].

We fix a spin structure on the manifold M and consider the spinor bundle ΣM. On the spinor bundle ΣM we have the Clifford multiplication of spinors with tangent vectors denoted

by $\cdot$. Moreover, we fix a hermitian scalar product on the spinor bundle and denote its real part by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Clifford multiplication is skew-symmetric

$$\langle \psi, X \cdot \xi \rangle = -\langle X \cdot \psi, \xi \rangle$$

for all $\psi, \xi \in \Gamma(\Sigma M)$ and $X \in TM$. Moreover the Clifford relations

$$X \cdot Y + Y \cdot X = -2g(X, Y) \tag{2.1}$$

hold for all $X, Y \in TM$. The Dirac operator $D \colon \Gamma(\Sigma M) \to \Gamma(\Sigma M)$ is defined as the composition of first applying the covariant derivative on the spinor bundle followed by Clifford multiplication. More precisely, it is given by

$$D := \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i \cdot \nabla^{\Sigma M}_{e_i},$$

where $e_i, i = 1 \dots n$ is an orthonormal basis of TM. Sometimes we will make use of the Einstein summation convention and just sum over repeated indices. The Dirac operator is of first order, elliptic and self-adjoint with respect to the L^2-norm. Hence, if M is compact the Dirac operator has a real and discrete spectrum.

The square of the Dirac operator satisfies the *Schroedinger-Lichnerowicz* formula

$$D^2 = \nabla^* \nabla + \frac{R}{4}, \tag{2.2}$$

where R denotes the scalar curvature of the manifold M.

After having recalled the basic definition from spin geometry will focus on the analysis of the following action functional (which is the first one from the introduction)

$$E(\psi) = \int_M (\langle \psi, D\psi \rangle - \lambda |\psi|^2 - \frac{\mu}{2} |\psi|^4) d\mathrm{vol}_g. \tag{2.3}$$

Its critical points are given by

$$D\psi = \lambda \psi + \mu |\psi|^2 \psi. \tag{2.4}$$

It turns out that $L^4(\Sigma M) \times W^{1,\frac{4}{3}}(\Sigma M)$ is the right function space for weak solutions of (2.4).

Definition 2.1. We call $\psi \in L^4(\Sigma M) \times W^{1,\frac{4}{3}}(\Sigma M)$ a *weak solution* if it solves (2.4) in a distributional sense.

The analytic structure of the other energy functionals listed in the introduction is the same as the one of (2.3). Due to this reason many of the results that will be obtained for solutions of (2.4) can easily be generalized to critical points of the other models.

The equation (2.4) is also interesting from a geometric point of view since it interpolates between eigenspinors ($\mu = 0$) and a non-linear Dirac equation ($\lambda = 0$) that arises in the study of CMC immersion from surfaces into $\mathbb{R}^3$.

In the following we want to vary the energy functional (2.3) with respect to the metric g. To this end let us recall the following construction for identifying spinor bundles belonging to different metrics. For the Riemannian case this was established in [5] and later on generalized to the pseudo-Riemannian case in [4]. Here, we will follow the presentation from [22], Chapter 2.

Suppose we have two spinor bundles $\Sigma_g M$ and $\Sigma_h M$ corresponding to different metrics g and h. There exists a unique positive definite tensor field h_g uniquely determined by the requirement $h(X, Y) = g(HX, HY) = g(X, h_g Y)$, where $H := \sqrt{h_g}$. Let P_g and P_h be the oriented orthonormal frame bundles of (M, g) and (M, h). Then H^{-1} induces an equivariant isomorphism $b_{g,h} \colon P_g \to P_h$ via the assignment $E_i \mapsto H^{-1} E_i, i = 1 \dots n$. We fix a spin structure $\Lambda_g \colon Q_g \to P_g$ of (M, g) and think of it as a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundle. The pull-back of Λ_g via the isomorphism $b_{h,g} \colon P_h \to P_g$ induces a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundle $\Lambda_h \colon Q_h \to P_h$. Moreover, we get a $\mathrm{Spin}(n)$-equivariant isomorphism $\tilde{b}_{h,g} \colon Q_h \to Q_g$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q_h & \xrightarrow{\tilde{b}_{h,g}} & Q_g \\ {\scriptstyle \Lambda_h}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Lambda_g} \\ P_h & \xrightarrow{b_{h,g}} & P_g \end{array}$$

Making use of this construction we obtain the following

Lemma 2.2. *There exist natural isomorphisms*

$$b_{g,h}\colon TM \to TM, \qquad \beta_{g,h}\colon \Sigma_g M \to \Sigma_h M$$

that satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} h(b_{h,g}X, b_{h,g}Y) =& g(X,Y), \qquad \langle \beta_{h,g}\chi, \beta_{h,g}\psi\rangle_{\Sigma_h M} = \langle \psi, \chi\rangle_{\Sigma_g M}, \\ (b_{g,h}X)\cdot(\beta_{g,h}\psi) =& \tilde{\beta}_{g,h}(X\cdot\psi) \end{aligned}$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ *and* $\psi, \chi \in \Gamma(\Sigma_g M)$.

In order to calculate the variation of the Dirac operator with respect to the metric we need the following objects: Let $\mathrm{Sym}(0,2)$ be the space of all symmetric $(0,2)$-tensor fields on (M,g). Any element k of $\mathrm{Sym}(0,2)$ induces a $(1,1)$-tensor field k_g via $k(X,Y) = g(X,k_gY)$. We denote the Dirac operator on $(M, g+tk)$ by D_{g+tk} for a small parameter t. Moreover, we will use the notation $\psi_{g+tk} := \beta_{g,g+tk}\psi \in \Gamma(\Sigma M_{g+tk})$, which can be thought of as push-forward of $\psi \in \Sigma_g M$ to $\psi \in \Sigma_{g+tk} M$.

Lemma 2.3. *The variation of the Dirac-energy with respect to the metric is given by*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0}\langle \psi_{g+tk}, D_{g+tk}\psi_{g+tk}\rangle_{\Sigma_{g+tk}M} = -\frac{1}{4}\langle e_i\cdot\nabla^{\Sigma M}_{e_j}\psi + e_j\cdot\nabla^{\Sigma M}_{e_i}\psi, \psi\rangle_{\Sigma_g M} k^{ij}, \tag{2.5}$$

where the tensor on the right hand side is the stress-energy tensor associated to the Dirac energy.

Definition 2.4. A weak solution $\psi \in L^4(\Sigma M) \times W^{1,\frac{4}{3}}(\Sigma M)$ is called *stationary* if it is also a critical point of $E(\psi)$ with respect to domain variations.

Proposition 2.5. *A stationary solution* $\psi \in L^4(\Sigma M) \times W^{1,\frac{4}{3}}(\Sigma M)$ *of* (2.4) *satisfies*

$$0 = \int_M (\langle e_i\cdot\nabla^{\Sigma M}_{e_j}\psi + e_j\cdot\nabla^{\Sigma M}_{e_i}\psi, \psi\rangle - g_{ij}\mu|\psi|^4)k^{ij}\mathrm{dvol}_g, \tag{2.6}$$

where k^{ij} *is a smooth element of* $\mathrm{Sym}(0,2)$.

Proof. We calculate

$$\frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0}\int_M \big(\langle \psi_{g+tk}, D_{g+tk}\psi_{g+tk}\rangle_{\Sigma_{g+tk}M} - \lambda|\psi_{g+tk}|^2_{\Sigma_{g+tk}M} - \frac{\mu}{2}|\psi_{g+tk}|^4_{\Sigma_{g+tk}M}\big)\mathrm{dvol}_{g+tk},$$

where k is a symmetric $(0,2)$-tensor and t some small number. The variation of the volume-element yields

$$\frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0}\mathrm{dvol}_{g+tk} = \frac{1}{2}\langle g, k\rangle_g \mathrm{dvol}_g. \tag{2.7}$$

Using that $\beta_{g,g+tk}$ acts as an isometry on the spinor bundle we obtain

$$|\psi_{g+tk}|^2_{\Sigma_{g+tk}M} = |\beta_{g,g+tk}\psi|^2_{\Sigma_{g+tk}M} = |\psi|^2_{\Sigma_g M}$$

and together with (2.5) the result follows. □

For a smooth solution ψ of (2.4) we thus obtain the stress-energy tensor

$$S_{ij} = \langle e_i\cdot\nabla^{\Sigma M}_{e_j}\psi + e_j\cdot\nabla^{\Sigma M}_{e_i}\psi, \psi\rangle - g_{ij}\mu|\psi|^4. \tag{2.8}$$

Its trace can easily be computed to be

$$\mathrm{tr}\, S = g^{ij}S_{ij} = 2\lambda|\psi|^2 + (2-n)\mu|\psi|^4.$$

Note that the stress-energy tensor is traceless for $\lambda = 0$ and $n = 2$ since it arises from a conformally invariant energy functional in that case.

Lemma 2.6. *Suppose that ψ is a smooth solution of* (2.4). *Then the stress-energy tensor* (2.8) *is symmetric and divergence-free.*

Proof. We choose a local orthonormal basis of TM such that $\nabla_{e_i} e_j = 0, i, j = 1, \dots, n$ at the considered point. To show that the stress-energy tensor is divergence-free we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^j S_{ij} =& \nabla^j (\langle e_i \cdot \nabla_{e_j}\psi + e_j \cdot \nabla_{e_i}\psi, \psi\rangle - g_{ij}\mu|\psi|^4)\\ =& \langle e_i \cdot \Delta\psi, \psi\rangle + \underbrace{\langle e_i \cdot \nabla_{e_j}\psi, \nabla_{e_j}\psi\rangle}_{=0} + \langle D\nabla_{e_i}\psi, \psi\rangle - \langle \nabla_{e_i}\psi, D\psi\rangle - 4\mu|\psi|^2\langle \nabla_{e_i}\psi, \psi\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

By a direct computation we find

$$\begin{aligned}\langle D\nabla_{e_i}\psi, \psi\rangle =& \underbrace{\langle \psi, e_j \cdot R^{\Sigma M}(e_i, e_j)\psi\rangle}_{=\frac{1}{2}\langle \psi, \mathrm{Ric}(e_i)\cdot\psi\rangle = 0} + \langle \nabla_{e_i} D\psi, \psi\rangle = (\lambda + 3\mu|\psi|^2)\langle \nabla_{e_i}\psi, \psi\rangle,\\ \langle \nabla_{e_i}\psi, D\psi\rangle =& (\lambda + \mu|\psi|^2)\langle \nabla_{e_i}\psi, \psi\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

where we used that ψ is a solution of (2.4). Thus, we obtain

$$\nabla^j S_{ij} = \langle e_i \cdot \Delta\psi, \psi\rangle - 2\mu|\psi|^2\langle \nabla_{e_i}\psi, \psi\rangle.$$

Using (2.2) and (2.4) we find that

$$\langle e_i \cdot \Delta\psi, \psi\rangle = -\mu\langle e_i \cdot (\nabla|\psi|^2)\cdot\psi, \psi\rangle = \mu g(e_i, \nabla|\psi|^2)|\psi|^2 = 2\mu|\psi|^2\langle \nabla_{e_i}\psi, \psi\rangle,$$

which completes the proof. □

We will often make use of the following Bochner-type equation

Lemma 2.7. *Let ψ be a smooth solution of* (2.4). *Then the following formula holds*

$$\Delta\frac{1}{2}|\psi|^4 = \big|d|\psi|^2\big|^2 + |\psi|^2|\nabla\psi|^2 + |\psi|^4\big(\frac{R}{4} - (\lambda + \mu|\psi|^2)^2\big). \tag{2.9}$$

Proof. By a direct calculation we find

$$\Delta\frac{1}{2}|\psi|^4 = \big|d|\psi|^2\big|^2 + |\psi|^2|\nabla\psi|^2 + \frac{R}{4}|\psi|^4 - |\psi|^2\langle \psi, D^2\psi\rangle,$$

where we used (2.2). Moreover, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \psi, D^2\psi\rangle =& \langle \psi, D(\lambda\psi)\rangle + \langle \psi, D(\mu|\psi|^2\psi)\rangle\\ =& \lambda^2|\psi|^2 + \lambda\mu|\psi|^4 + \mu\underbrace{\langle \psi, (\nabla|\psi|^2)\cdot\psi\rangle}_{=0} + \mu\lambda|\psi|^4 + \mu^2|\psi|^6\\ =& |\psi|^2(\lambda + \mu|\psi|^2)^2,\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where we used that ψ is a solution of (2.4). □

Let us recall the following definitions:

Definition 2.8. A spinor $\psi \in \Gamma(\Sigma M)$ is called *twistor spinor* if it satisfies

$$\nabla^{\Sigma M}_X\psi + \frac{1}{n}X \cdot D\psi = 0 \tag{2.11}$$

for all vector fields X. The spinor ψ is called *Killing spinor* if it is both a twistor spinor and an eigenspinor of the Dirac operator, that is

$$\nabla^{\Sigma M}_X\psi + \alpha X \cdot \psi = 0 \tag{2.12}$$

with $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

It is well known that Killing spinors have constant norm, that is $|\psi|^2 = const.$ However, here we have the following

Lemma 2.9. *Suppose that ψ is a solution of* (2.4) *and a twistor spinor. Then ψ has constant norm.*

Proof. We calculate for an arbitrary $X \in TM$

$$\partial_X \frac{1}{2}|\psi|^2 = \langle \nabla_X^{\Sigma M}\psi, \psi\rangle = -\frac{1}{n}\langle X \cdot D\psi, \psi\rangle = -\frac{1}{n}(\lambda + \mu|\psi|^2)\langle X\cdot\psi, \psi\rangle,$$

where we first used that ψ is a twistor spinor and then used that ψ is a solution of (2.4). The statement then follows from the skew-symmetry of the Clifford multiplication. □

Example 2.10. Suppose that ψ is a Killing spinor with constant $\alpha = \frac{\lambda+\mu|\psi|^2}{n}$. Then it is a solution of (2.4). However, this above approach is rather restrictive since only few Riemannian manifolds admit Killing spinors [2].

Proposition 2.11. *Suppose that ψ is a smooth solution of* (2.4) *and also a twistor spinor. Then the stress-energy tensor* (2.8) *acquires the form*

$$S_{ij} = \frac{1}{n} g_{ij}\big(\mu(2-n)|\psi|^4 + 2\lambda|\psi|^2\big). \tag{2.13}$$

In particular, the stress-energy tensor is just a multiple of the metric.

Proof. We consider the stress-energy tensor (2.8) and use the fact that ψ is a twistor spinor, that is

$$\begin{aligned} S_{ij} =& \langle e_i \cdot \nabla_{e_j}\psi + e_j \cdot \nabla_{e_i}\psi, \psi\rangle - g_{ij}\mu|\psi|^4 \\ =& -\frac{1}{n}\langle (\underbrace{e_i\cdot e_j + e_j\cdot e_i}_{=-2g_{ij}})D\psi, \psi\rangle - g_{ij}\mu|\psi|^4 = \mu(\frac{2}{n}-1)|\psi|^4 g_{ij} + \frac{2}{n}\lambda|\psi|^2 g_{ij}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the Clifford relations (2.1) and (2.4). □

3. Nonlinear Dirac equations on closed manifolds

In this section we will derive several properties of solutions of (2.4) on closed Riemannian manifolds, where we will mostly focus on the two-dimensional case.

3.1. Nonlinear Dirac equations on closed surfaces.

First, we derive a local energy estimates for smooth solutions of (2.4). Our result is similar to the energy estimate that was obtained in [14], Theorem 2.1, which corresponds to (2.4) with $\lambda = 0$. We obtain the following

Theorem 3.1. *Let ψ be a smooth solution of* (2.4). *If $|\psi|_{L^4(D)} < \epsilon$ then*

$$|\psi|_{W^{k,p}(D')} \leq C|\psi|_{L^4(D)} \tag{3.1}$$

for all $D' \subset D$ and $p > 1$. The constant C depends on D', μ, λ, k, p.

We will divide the proof into two Lemmata, the result then follows by iterating the procedure outlined below.

Lemma 3.2. *Let ψ be a smooth solution of* (2.4). *If $|\psi|_{L^4}(D) < \epsilon$ then for all $p > 1$ and all $D' \subset D$ we have*

$$|\psi|_{L^p(D')} \leq C|\psi|_{L^4(D)}, \tag{3.2}$$

where the constant C depends on D', μ, λ, k, p.

Proof. Choose a cut-off function η with $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, $\eta|_{D'} = 1$ and $\operatorname{supp}\eta \subset D$. Then we have

$$D(\eta\psi) = \eta D\psi + \nabla\eta\cdot\psi = \eta\lambda\psi + \eta\mu|\psi|^2\psi + \nabla\eta\cdot\psi.$$

We set $\xi = \eta\psi$ and by making use of elliptic estimates for first order equations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi|_{W^{1,q}(D)} \leq& C(|\eta\psi|_{L^q(D)} + \mu\big||\psi^3\eta|\big|_{L^q(D)} + |\nabla\eta||\psi|_{L^q(D)}) \\ \leq& C(|\psi|_{L^q(D)} + \big||\psi^3\eta|\big|_{L^q(D)}). \end{aligned}$$

We set $q^* := \frac{2q}{2-q}$ for $q < 2$. By the Hölder inequality we get

$$\big||\psi^3\eta|\big|_{L^q(D)} \leq |\psi|^2_{L^4(D)}|\xi|_{L^{q^*}(D)}.$$

Applying the Sobolev embedding theorem in two dimensions we find

$$|\xi|_{L^{q^*}(D)} \leq C|\xi|_{W^{1,q}(D)} \leq C(|\psi|_{L^q(D)} + |\psi|^2_{L^4(D)}|\xi|_{L^{q^*}(D)}).$$

Using the small energy assumption we get

$$|\xi|_{L^{q^*}(D)} \leq C|\psi|_{L^4(D)}.$$

For any $p > 1$ we can find some $q < 2$ such that $p = q^*$. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let ψ be a smooth solution of (2.4). If $|\psi|_{L^4}(D) < \epsilon$ then for all $p > 1$ and all $D' \subset D$ we have*

$$|\psi|_{W^{1,p}(D')} \leq C|\psi|_{L^4(D)}, \tag{3.3}$$

where the constant C depends on D', μ, λ, k, p.

Proof. Again, choose a cut-off function η with $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, $\eta|_{D'} = 1$ and $\operatorname{supp}\eta \subset D$. Setting $\xi = \eta\psi$ we locally have

$$\int_D |\nabla\xi|^2 dx = \int_D |D\xi|^2 dx = \int_D |\eta\lambda\psi + \eta\mu|\psi|^2\psi + \nabla\eta\cdot\psi|^2 dx \leq C\int_D (|\psi|^2 + |\psi|^6)dx.$$

We obtain the following inequality

$$|\nabla\xi|_{L^2(D)} \leq C(|\psi|^3_{L^6(D)} + |\psi|_{L^2}) \leq C|\psi|_{L^4(D)},$$

which yields

$$|\nabla\psi|_{L^2(D')} \leq C|\psi|_{L^4(D)}. \tag{3.4}$$

By a direct computation we find

$$D^2\psi = \lambda^2\psi + 2\mu\lambda|\psi|^2\psi + \mu^2|\psi|^4\psi + \mu(\nabla|\psi|^2)\cdot\psi$$

and also

$$\Delta\xi = (\Delta\eta)\psi + 2\nabla\eta\nabla\psi + \eta\Delta\psi.$$

This yields

$$|\Delta\xi| \leq C(|\psi| + |\nabla\psi| + |\psi|^2|\nabla\psi| + |\psi|^3 + |\psi|^5).$$

On the disc D we have $\Delta = -D^2$, hence we find

$$|\eta\psi|_{W^{2,p}(D)} \leq C(|\psi|_{L^p(D)} + |\nabla\psi|_{L^p(D)} + \big||\psi|^2|\nabla\psi|\big|_{L^p(D)} + \big||\psi|^3\big|_{L^p(D)} + \big||\psi|^5\big|_{L^p(D)}). \tag{3.5}$$

Using (3.2) and (3.4) we obtain

$$\big||\psi|^2|\nabla\psi|\big|_{L^p(D)} \leq C|\nabla\psi|_{L^2(D')}|\psi|^2_{L^8(D')} \leq C|\psi|_{L^4(D)}$$

and the same bound applies to the first and the last two terms of (3.5). Thus, we obtain by setting $p = \frac{4}{3}$ in (3.2) and applying the Sobolev embedding theorem

$$|\psi|_{W^{1,4}(D')} \leq C|\eta\psi|_{W^{2,\frac{4}{3}}(D')} \leq C|\psi|_{L^4(D)}$$

for all $D' \subset D$. In particular, this implies

$$|\psi|_{L^\infty(D')} \leq C|\psi|_{L^4(D)}.$$

At this point we may set $p = 2$ in (3.5) and find

$$|\psi|_{W^{1,p}(D')} \leq C|\psi|_{W^{2,2}(D')} \leq C|\psi|_{L^4(D)},$$

which proves the result. □

Remark 3.4. In the case that $\lambda = 0$ the equation (2.4) arises from a conformally invariant energy functional and is scale invariant. This scale invariance can be exploited to show that solutions of (2.4) cannot have isolated singularities, see [14], Theorem 3.1.

By the main result of [3] we know that the nodal set of solutions to (2.4) on closed surfaces is discrete. The next Proposition gives an upper bound on their nodal set.

Proposition 3.5. *Suppose that ψ is a smooth solution of (2.4) that is not identically zero. Then the following inequality holds*

$$\int_M (\lambda + \mu|\psi|^2)^2 d\mathrm{vol}_g \geq 2\pi\chi(M) + 4\pi N, \tag{3.6}$$

where $\chi(M)$ is the Euler characteristic of the surface. Moreover, N denotes an estimate on the nodal set

$$N = \sum_{p\in M, |\psi|(p)=0} n_p,$$

where n_p is the order of vanishing of $|\psi|$ at the point p.

Proof. By changing the connection on ΣM we obtain the following inequality (see [7], Lemma 2.1 and references therein for a detailed derivation)

$$\frac{\langle\psi, D^2\psi\rangle}{|\psi|^2} \geq \frac{R}{4} + \frac{|T|^2}{4|\psi|^4} - \Delta \log|\psi|$$

with the stress-energy tensor for the Dirac action $T(X,Y) := \langle X\cdot\nabla_Y\psi + Y\cdot\nabla_X\psi, \psi\rangle$. Using (2.10) we find

$$\frac{\langle\psi, D^2\psi\rangle}{|\psi|^2} = (\lambda + \mu|\psi|^2)^2.$$

We can estimate the stress-energy tensor as

$$|T|^2 \geq 2(\lambda + \mu|\psi|^2)^2,$$

which yields

$$(\lambda + \mu|\psi|^2)^2 \geq K - 2\Delta\log|\psi|,$$

where $K = 2R$ denotes the Gaussian curvature of M. By integrating over M and using that for a function with discrete zero set

$$\int_M \Delta\log|\psi| d\mathrm{vol}_g = -2\pi \sum_{p\in M, |\psi|(p)=0} n_p$$

we obtain the result. □

Corollary 3.6. (1) *The estimate on the nodal set (3.6) generalizes the estimates on the nodal set for eigenspinors [7] and on solutions to non-linear Dirac equations [1], Proposition 8.4.*

(2) *Due to the last Proposition we obtain the following upper bound on the nodal set of solutions to (2.4)*

$$N \leq -\frac{\chi(M)}{2} + \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_M (\lambda + \mu|\psi|^2)^2 d\mathrm{vol}_g.$$

(3) *We also obtain a vanishing result for surfaces of positive Euler characteristic: More precisely, if*

$$\int_M (\lambda + \mu|\psi|^2)^2 d\mathrm{vol}_g < 4\pi$$

then we get a contradiction from (3.6) forcing ψ to be trivial.

Using the Sobolev embedding theorem we can obtain another variant of the last statement from the previous Corollary.

Proposition 3.7. *Let ψ be a smooth solution of (2.4). Suppose that there do not exist harmonic spinors on M. If*

$$|\lambda||\psi|^2_{L^2} + |\mu||\psi|^2_{L^4} < \epsilon \tag{3.7}$$

for some small $\epsilon > 0$ then ψ must be trivial.

Proof. By assumption 0 is not in the spectrum of D and we can estimate

$$|\psi| \leq \frac{1}{|\lambda_1|}|D\psi|,$$

where λ_1 denotes the smallest eigenvalue of the Dirac operator. Making use of elliptic estimates for first order equations we find

$$\begin{aligned}|\psi|_{L^4} \leq C|\psi|_{W^{1,\frac{4}{3}}} &\leq C(|D\psi|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}} + |\psi|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}}) \\ &\leq C(|\lambda||\psi|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}} + |\mu|\big||\psi|^3\big|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}}) \\ &\leq C(|\lambda||\psi|^2_{L^4}|\psi|_{L^2} + |\mu||\psi|^2_{L^4}) \\ &\leq \epsilon C|\psi|_{L^4},\end{aligned}$$

where we made use of the assumptions. Thus, for ϵ small enough ψ has to vanish. □

3.2. **The higher-dimensional case.**

Proposition 3.8. *Suppose that M is a closed Riemannian spin manifold with positive scalar curvature. Suppose that ψ is a smooth solution of (2.4) with small energy, that is*

$$(\lambda + \mu|\psi|^2)^2 < \frac{R}{4}. \tag{3.8}$$

Then ψ vanishes identically.

Proof. We use the Bochner formula (2.9) and calculate

$$\Delta\frac{1}{2}|\psi|^4 = \big|d|\psi|^2\big|^2 + |\psi|^2|\nabla\psi|^2 + |\psi|^4\big(\frac{R}{4} - (\lambda + \mu|\psi|^2)^2\big) > 0$$

using the assumption. Hence $|\psi|^4$ is a subharmonic function and due to the maximum principle it has to be constant. Thus, we obtain

$$0 = |\psi|^2|\nabla\psi|^2 + |\psi|^4\big(\frac{R}{4} - (\lambda + \mu|\psi|^2)^2\big)$$

and the result follows by making use of the assumption. □

4. Nonlinear Dirac equations on complete manifolds

In this section we study the behavior of solutions of (2.4) on complete manifolds. We will derive several monotonicity formulas and, as an application, we obtain Liouville theorems.

4.1. **A Liouville Theorem for stationary solutions.** In this section we will derive a vanishing theorem for stationary solutions of (2.4).

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that $M = \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{H}^n$ with $n \geq 3$. Let $\psi \in L^4_{loc}(\Sigma M) \times W^{1,\frac{4}{3}}_{loc}(\Sigma M)$ be a stationary solution of (2.4). If $\lambda \geq 0, \mu \leq 0$ and*

$$\int_M (|\psi|^4 + |\nabla\psi|^{\frac{4}{3}})d\mathrm{vol}_g < \infty \tag{4.1}$$

then ψ vanishes identically.

Proof. We will first show the result for $M = \mathbb{R}^n$. Choose $\eta \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\eta = 1$ for $r \leq R$, $\eta = 0$ for $r \geq 2R$ and $|\eta'(r)| \leq \frac{4}{R}$. In addition, we choose $Y(x) = x\eta(r) \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$, where $r = |x|$. Then, we set

$$k_{ij} := \frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial x^j} = \eta(r)\delta_{ij} + \frac{x_i x_j}{r}\eta'(r)$$

and inserting this into the stationary condition (2.6) we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (2\langle\psi, D\psi\rangle - n\mu|\psi|^4)\eta(r)d\mathrm{vol}_g = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (2\langle\psi, \partial_r \cdot \nabla_{\partial_r}\psi\rangle - \mu|\psi|^4)r\eta'(r)d\mathrm{vol}_g.$$

Using the equation for ψ we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(2\lambda|\psi|^2+\big(2-n)\mu|\psi|^4)\eta(r)d\mathrm{vol}_g=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(2\langle\psi,\partial_r\cdot\nabla_{\partial_r}\psi\rangle-\mu|\psi|^4)r\eta'(r)d\mathrm{vol}_g.$$

The right hand side can be controlled as follows

$$\big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(2\langle\psi,\partial_r\cdot\nabla_{\partial_r}\psi\rangle-\mu|\psi|^4)r\eta'(r)d\mathrm{vol}_g\big|\leq C\int_{B_{2R}\setminus B_R}(|\psi||\nabla\psi|+|\psi|^4)dx.$$

Making use of the assumptions on λ,μ and by the properties of the cut-off function η we obtain

$$\int_{B_R}(2\lambda|\psi|^2+\big(2-n)\mu|\psi|^4)dx\leq\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(2\lambda|\psi|^2+\big(2-n)\mu|\psi|^4)\eta(r)d\mathrm{vol}_g$$

such that we get

$$\int_{B_R}(2\lambda|\psi|^2+\big(2-n)\mu|\psi|^4)dx\leq C\int_{B_{2R}\setminus B_R}(|\psi||\nabla\psi|+|\psi|^4)dx\leq C\int_{B_{2R}\setminus B_R}(|\nabla\psi|^{\frac{4}{3}}+|\psi|^4)dx.$$

Taking the limit $R\to\infty$ and making use of the finite energy assumption we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\psi|^2(2\lambda+(2-n)\mu|\psi|^2)d\mathrm{vol}_g\leq 0,$$

yielding the result. By applying the Theorem of Cartan-Hadamard the proof carries over to hyperbolic space. □

Remark 4.2. In particular, the last Proposition applies in the case $\mu=0$, which corresponds to ψ being an eigenspinor with positive eigenvalue λ. Thus, there does not exist an eigenspinor satisfying

$$\int_M(|\psi|^4+|\nabla\psi|^{\frac{4}{3}})d\mathrm{vol}_g<\infty$$

for a positive eigenvalue λ on $M=\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{H}^n$ for $n\geq 3$.

4.2. **Monotonicity formulas for smooth solutions.** In this section we will derive a monotonicity formula for smooth solutions of (2.4) on complete Riemannian manifolds. We will make use of the fact that the stress-energy tensor (2.8) is divergence free, whenever ψ is a solution of (2.4). First of all, let us recall the following facts: A vector field X is called *conformal* if

$$\mathcal{L}_X g=fg,$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ denotes the Lie-derivative of the metric with respect to X and $f\colon M\to\mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function.

Lemma 4.3. *Let T be a symmetric 2-tensor. For any vector field X the following formula holds*

$$\mathrm{div}(\iota_X T)=\iota_X\,\mathrm{div}\,T+\langle T,\nabla X\rangle. \tag{4.2}$$

If X is a conformal vector field, then the second term on the right hand side acquires the form

$$\langle T,\nabla X\rangle=\frac{1}{n}\,\mathrm{div}\,X\,\mathrm{tr}\,T. \tag{4.3}$$

By integrating over a compact region U, making use of Stokes theorem, we obtain

Lemma 4.4. *Let (M,g) be a Riemannian manifold and $U\subset M$ be a compact region with smooth boundary. Then, for any symmetric 2-tensor and any vector field X the following formula holds*

$$\int_{\partial U}T(X,n)d\sigma=\int_U\iota_X\,\mathrm{div}\,T dx+\int_U\langle T,\nabla X\rangle dx, \tag{4.4}$$

where n denotes the normal to U. The same formula holds for a conformal vector field X if we replace the second term on the right hand by (4.3).

We now derive a type of monotonicity formula for smooth solutions of (2.4) in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Proposition 4.5 (Monotonicity formula in $\mathbb{R}^n$)**.** *Let ψ be a smooth solution of (2.4) on $M = \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $B_R(x_0)$ be a geodesic ball around the point $x_0 \in M$ and $0 < R_1 < R_2 \leq R$. Then the following monotonicity formula holds*

$$R_1^{2-n}\mu \int_{B_{R_1(x_0)}} |\psi|^4 dx - R_2^{2-n}\mu \int_{B_{R_2(x_0)}} |\psi|^4 dx = -2\lambda \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \big(r^{1-n} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\psi|^2 dx\big) dr \\ + 2\int_{R_1}^{R_2} \big(r^{2-n} \int_{\partial B_r(x_0)} \langle \psi, \partial_r \cdot \nabla_{\partial_r} \psi\rangle d\sigma\big) dr. \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. For $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ we choose the conformal vector field $X = r\frac{\partial}{\partial r}$ with $r = |x|$. In this case we have $\mathrm{div}(X) = n$, thus

$$(2-n)\mu \int_{B_r} |\psi|^4 d\mathrm{vol}_g + r\mu \int_{\partial B_r} |\psi|^4 d\sigma = -2\lambda \int_{B_r} |\psi|^2 d\mathrm{vol}_g + 2r \int_{\partial B_r} \langle \psi, \partial_r \cdot \nabla_{\partial_r} \psi\rangle d\sigma,$$

where we used (4.4) and (4.3). Making use of the coarea formula we can rewrite this as

$$\frac{d}{dr}\big(r^{2-n}\mu \int_{B_r} |\psi|^4 d\mathrm{vol}_g\big) = -2\lambda r^{1-n} \int_{B_r} |\psi|^2 d\mathrm{vol}_g + 2r^{2-n} \int_{\partial B_r} \langle \psi, \partial_r \cdot \nabla_{\partial_r} \psi\rangle d\sigma$$

and integrating with respect to r yields the result. □

Remark 4.6. The previous monotonicity formula also holds if ψ was only a weak solution of (2.4), that is $\psi \in L^4(\Sigma M) \times W^{1,\frac{4}{3}}(\Sigma M)$.

We now aim at generalizing the monotonicity formula (4.5) to the case of a complete Riemannian spin manifold. Note that, in general, the vector field $X = r\frac{\partial}{\partial r}$ will no be conformal. We fix a point $x_0 \in M$ and consider a ball with geodesic radius $r = d(x_0, \cdot)$ around that point, where d denotes the Riemannian distance function. Moreover, i_M will refer to the injectivity radius of M. Using geodesic polar coordinates we decompose the metric in B_{i_M} with the help of the Gauss Lemma as

$$g = g_r + dr \otimes dr.$$

In the following we will frequently make use of the Hessian of the Riemannian distance function. Since the Hessian is a symmetric bilinear form we may diagonalize it, its eigenvalues will be denoted by $\omega_i, i = 1, \ldots, n$. Thus, we may write

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mathrm{Hess}(r^2)(e_i, e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i,$$

where $e_i, i = 1 \ldots n$ denotes an orthonormal basis of TM. We denote the largest eigenvalue by ω_{max} and set

$$\Omega := 2\omega_{max} - \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i. \tag{4.6}$$

The quantity Ω depends on the geometry of the manifold M and, in general, it cannot be computed explicitly. For some explicit estimates on Ω in terms of the geometric data we refer to [24], Lemma 3.2. In the case $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ we have $\Omega = 2 - n$.

Proposition 4.7. *Let (M, g) be a complete Riemannian spin manifold and suppose that ψ is a smooth solution of (2.4). Then for all $0 < R_1 < R_2 \leq R$, $R \in (0, i_M)$ the following type of monotonicity formula holds*

$$R_1^{\Omega}\mu \int_{B_{R_1}} |\psi|^4 dx \leq R_2^{\Omega}\mu \int_{B_{R_2}} |\psi|^4 dx + 2\lambda\omega_{max} \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \big(r^{\Omega-1} \int_{B_r} |\psi|^2 dx\big) dr \\ - 2\int_{R_1}^{R_2} \big(r^{\Omega} \int_{\partial B_r} \langle \psi, \partial_r \cdot \nabla_{\partial_r} \psi\rangle d\sigma\big) dr, \tag{4.7}$$

where Ω is given by (4.6).

Proof. Inserting the stress-energy tensor (2.8) into (4.4) and choosing the vector field $X = r\frac{\partial}{\partial r}$ we obtain the following equation

$$2r\int_{\partial B_r}\langle\psi,\partial_r\cdot\nabla_{\partial_r}\psi\rangle d\sigma - r\mu\int_{\partial B_r}|\psi|^4 d\sigma = \int_{B_r}\langle e_i\cdot\nabla_{e_j}\psi + e_j\cdot\nabla_{e_i}\psi,\psi\rangle\,\mathrm{Hess}(r^2)(e_i,e_j)dx - \mu\int_{B_r}\mathrm{tr}\,\mathrm{Hess}(r^2)|\psi|^4 dx.$$

Diagonalizing the Hessian of the Riemannian distance function we find

$$\begin{aligned}\langle e_i\cdot\nabla_{e_j}\psi + e_j\cdot\nabla_{e_i}\psi,\psi\rangle\,\mathrm{Hess}(r^2)(e_i,e_j) - \mu\,\mathrm{tr}\,\mathrm{Hess}(r^2)|\psi|^4 \leq& 2\omega_{max}\langle\psi,D\psi\rangle - \mu|\psi|^4\sum_{i=1}^n\omega_i\\ =&2\omega_{max}\lambda|\psi|^2 + \mu|\psi|^4\Omega,\end{aligned}$$

where we used (4.6). Hence, we obtain

$$\mu\Omega\int_{B_r}|\psi|^4 dx + r\mu\int_{\partial B_r}|\psi|^4 d\sigma \geq 2r\int_{\partial B_r}\langle\psi,\partial_r\cdot\nabla_{\partial_r}\psi\rangle d\sigma - 2\omega_{max}\int_{B_r}\lambda|\psi|^2 dx$$

and by the coarea formula this yields

$$\frac{d}{dr}r^\Omega\mu\int_{B_r}|\psi|^4 dx \geq -2\lambda\omega_{max}r^{\Omega-1}\int_{B_r}|\psi|^2 dx + 2r^\Omega\int_{\partial B_r}\langle\psi,\partial_r\cdot\nabla_{\partial_r}\psi\rangle d\sigma.$$

Integrating with respect to r then yields the claim. □

Remark 4.8. The problematic contribution in the monotonicity formulas (4.5) and (4.8) is the indefinite term $\langle\psi,\partial_r\cdot\nabla_{\partial_r}\psi\rangle$. To give this term a definite sign we could assume that ψ is both a solution of (2.4) and a twistor spinor. In this case we have

$$\langle\psi,\partial_r\cdot\nabla_{\partial_r}\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{n}g(\partial_r,\partial_r)\langle\psi,D\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{n}g(\partial_r,\partial_r)(\lambda|\psi|^2 + \mu|\psi|^4).$$

The right hand side of this equation is positive for $\lambda,\mu > 0$. However, we have already seen that under the assumptions from above $|\psi|^2$ is equal to a constant and in this case the monotonicity formula contains no interesting information.

Theorem 4.9 (Monotonicity formula)**.** *Let (M,g) be a complete Riemannian spin manifold and suppose that ψ is a smooth solution of (2.4). Then for all $0 < R_1 < R_2 \leq R$, $R \in (0, i_M)$ the following monotonicity type formula holds*

$$\begin{aligned}R_1^\Omega\mu\int_{B_{R_1}}|\psi|^4 dx \leq CR_2^\Omega\mu\int_{B_{R_2}}(|\psi|^4 + |\nabla\psi|^{\frac{4}{3}})dx&\\ + C\int_{R_1}^{R_2}\big(r^{\Omega-1}\int_{B_r}(|\psi|^2 + |\psi|^4 + |\nabla\psi|^{\frac{4}{3}})dx\big)dr,&\end{aligned}\tag{4.8}$$

where Ω is given by (4.6). The positive constant C only depends on the geometry of M.

Proof. First of all, we manipulate the indefinite term from (4.7) using integration by parts

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{R_1}^{R_2}\big(r^\Omega\int_{\partial B_r}\langle\psi,\partial_r\cdot\nabla_{\partial_r}\psi\rangle d\sigma\big)dr =& \int_{R_1}^{R_2}\big(r^\Omega\frac{d}{dr}\int_{B_r}\langle\psi,\partial_r\cdot\nabla_{\partial_r}\psi\rangle dx\big)dr\\ =&R_2^\Omega\int_{B_{R_2}}\langle\psi,\partial_r\cdot\nabla_{\partial_r}\psi\rangle dx - R_1^\Omega\int_{B_{R_1}}\langle\psi,\partial_r\cdot\nabla_{\partial_r}\psi\rangle dx\\ &-\Omega\int_{R_1}^{R_2}\big(r^{\Omega-1}\int_{B_r}\langle\psi,\partial_r\cdot\nabla_{\partial_r}\psi\rangle dx\big)dr.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\int_{B_r}\langle\psi,\partial_r\cdot\nabla_{\partial_r}\psi\rangle dx \leq C\int_{B_r}|\psi|^4 dx + C\int_{B_r}|\nabla\psi|^{\frac{4}{3}}dx,$$

which proves the result. □

Remark 4.10. To control the term involving the $L^{\frac{4}{3}}$ norm of $\nabla\psi$ we can also use elliptic estimates (localized to the geodesic ball B_r) for first order equations and find

$$|\psi|_{W^{1,\frac{4}{3}}(B_r)} \leq C(|D\psi|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}(B_r)} + |\psi|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}(B_r)}) \leq C(|\psi|^3_{L^4(B_r)} + |\psi|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}(B_r)}),$$

where we used that ψ is a solution of (2.4).

We can obtain a Liouville Theorem from (4.8) by assuming that $\int_{B_R}(|\psi|^2 + |\psi|^4 + |\nabla\psi|^{\frac{4}{3}})dx$ decays fast enough. However, these assumptions are rather restrictive.

Theorem 4.11. *Let (M, g) be a complete Riemannian spin manifold and suppose that ψ is a smooth solution of (2.4). If $\mu > 0$ and*

$$R^{\Omega}\int_{B_R}(|\psi|^2 + |\psi|^4 + |\nabla\psi|^{\frac{4}{3}})dx \to 0, \tag{4.9}$$

as $R \to \infty$, then ψ vanishes identically.

Proof. Suppose that $0 < r < R$ and fix a point $x_0 \in M$. Inserting the decay assumptions into (4.8) we find that

$$r^{\Omega}\mu\int_{B_{r(x_0)}}|\psi|^4dx \to 0$$

as $R \to \infty$ yielding the result. □

4.3. **A Liouville Theorems for complete manifolds with positive Ricci curvature.** In this section we will prove a Liouville theorem for smooth solutions of (2.4) on complete noncompact manifolds with positive Ricci curvature. Our result is motivated from a similar result for harmonic maps, see [26], Theorem 1. We set $e(\psi) := \frac{1}{2}|\psi|^4$.

Theorem 4.12. *Let (M, g) be a complete noncompact Riemannian spin manifold with positive Ricci curvature. Suppose that*

$$\mathrm{Ric} \geq \frac{4}{n}(\lambda + \mu|\psi|^2)^2 g. \tag{4.10}$$

If ψ is a smooth solution of (2.4) with finite energy $e(\psi)$ then ψ vanishes identically.

Proof. Taking the trace of (4.10) we find

$$(\lambda + \mu|\psi|^2)^2 \leq \frac{R}{4}$$

and by the Bochner formula (2.9) this yields

$$\Delta e(\psi) \geq \big|d|\psi|^2\big|^2. \tag{4.11}$$

In addition, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we find

$$|de(\psi)|^2 \leq 2e(\psi)\big|d|\psi|^2\big|^2. \tag{4.12}$$

We fix a positive number $\epsilon > 0$ and calculate

$$\Delta\sqrt{e(\psi)+\epsilon} = \frac{\Delta e(\psi)}{2\sqrt{e(\psi)+\epsilon}} - \frac{1}{4}\frac{|de(\psi)|^2}{(e(\psi)+\epsilon)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \geq \frac{\big|d|\psi|^2\big|^2}{2\sqrt{e(\psi)+\epsilon}}\big(1 - \frac{e(\psi)}{e(\psi)+\epsilon}\big) \geq 0,$$

where we used (4.11) and (4.12). Let η be an arbitrary function on M with compact support. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq & \int_M \eta^2\sqrt{e(\psi)+\epsilon}\Delta\sqrt{e(\psi)+\epsilon}d\mathrm{vol}_g \\ = & -2\int_M \eta\sqrt{e(\psi)+\epsilon}\langle\nabla\eta, \nabla\sqrt{e(\psi)+\epsilon}\rangle d\mathrm{vol}_g - \int_M \eta^2|\nabla\sqrt{e(\psi)+\epsilon}|^2 d\mathrm{vol}_g. \end{aligned}$$

Now let x_0 be a point in M and let B_R, B_{2R} be geodesic balls centered at x_0 with radii R and $2R$. We choose a cutoff function η satisfying

$$\eta(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in B_R, \\ 0, & x \in M \setminus B_{2R}. \end{cases}$$

In addition, we choose η such that

$$0 \leq \eta \leq 1, \qquad |\nabla \eta| \leq \frac{C}{R}$$

for a positive constant C. Then, we find

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq & -2\int_{B_{2R}} \eta\sqrt{e(\psi)+\epsilon}\langle\nabla\eta, \nabla\sqrt{e(\psi)+\epsilon}\rangle dx - \int_{B_{2R}} \eta^2 |\nabla\sqrt{e(\psi)+\epsilon}|^2 dx \\ \leq & 2\Big(\int_{B_{2R}\setminus B_R} \eta^2 |\sqrt{e(\psi)+\epsilon}|^2 dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big(\int_{B_{2R}\setminus B_R} |\nabla\eta|^2 (e(\psi)+\epsilon) dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & - \int_{B_{2R}\setminus B_R} \eta^2 |\nabla\sqrt{e(\psi)+\epsilon}|^2 dx - \int_{B_R} |\nabla\sqrt{e(\psi)+\epsilon}|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

We therefore obtain

$$\int_{B_r} |\nabla\sqrt{e(\psi)+\epsilon}|^2 dx \leq \int_{B_{2R}\setminus B_R} |\nabla\eta|^2 (e(\psi)+\epsilon) dx \leq \frac{C^2}{R^2}\int_{B_{2R}} (e(\psi)+\epsilon) dx.$$

We set $B'_R := B_R \setminus \{x \in B_R \mid e(\psi)(x) = 0\}$ and find

$$\int_{B'_r} \frac{|\nabla(e(\psi)+\epsilon)|^2}{4(e(\psi)+\epsilon)} dx \leq \frac{C^2}{R^2}\int_{B_{2R}} (e(\psi)+\epsilon) dx.$$

Letting $\epsilon \to 0$ we get

$$\int_{B'_r} \frac{|\nabla(e(\psi)|^2}{4e(\psi)} dx \leq \frac{C^2}{R^2}\int_{B_{2R}} e(\psi) dx.$$

Now, letting $R \to \infty$ and under the assumption that the energy is finite, we have

$$\int_{M\setminus\{e(\psi)=0\}} \frac{|\nabla e(\psi)|^2}{4e(\psi)} d\mathrm{vol}_g \leq 0,$$

hence the energy $e(\psi)$ has to be constant. If $e(\psi) \neq 0$, then the volume of M would have to be finite. However, by Theorem 7 of [31] the volume of a complete and noncompact Riemannian manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature is infinite. Hence $e(\psi) = 0$, which yields the result. □

Note, that Theorem 4.12 also holds in the case $\mu = 0$, which gives us the following vanishing result for eigenspinors:

Corollary 4.13. *Suppose that ψ is a smooth solution of $D\psi = \lambda\psi$ on a complete noncompact manifold with positive Ricci curvature. If*

$$\mathrm{Ric} \geq \frac{4}{n}\lambda^2 g$$

and $e(\psi)$ is finite then ψ vanishes identically.

5. Dirac-harmonic maps with curvature term from complete manifolds

Dirac-harmonic maps with curvature term arise as critical points of part of the supersymmetric nonlinear σ-model from quantum field theory [15], p. 268. They form a pair of a map from a Riemann spin manifold to another Riemannian manifold coupled with a vector spinor. For a two-dimensional domain Dirac-harmonic they belong to the class of conformally invariant variational problems. The conformal invariance gives rise to a removable singularity theorem [6] and an energy identity [32].

The mathematical study of the supersymmetric nonlinear σ-model was initiated in [12], where the notion of *Dirac-harmonic maps* was introduced. The full action of the supersymmetric nonlinear σ-model contains two additional terms: Taking into account and additional two-form in the action functional the resulting equations were studied in [8], Dirac-harmonic maps with curvature term to target spaces with torsion are analyzed in [10]. However, most of the results presented in this section still hold true if we would consider the full supersymmetric nonlinear σ-model.

In the following we still assume that (M, g) is a complete Riemannian spin manifold and (N, h) another Riemannian manifold. Whenever we will make use of indices we use Latin letters for indices related to M and Greek letters for indices related to N. Let $\phi\colon M \to N$ be a map and let ϕ^*TN be the pull-back of the tangent bundle from N. We consider the twisted bundle $\Sigma M \otimes \phi^*TN$, on this bundle we obtain a connection induced from ΣM and ϕ^*TN, which will be denoted by $\tilde{\nabla}$. Section in $\Sigma M \otimes \phi^*TN$ are called *vector spinors*. On $\Sigma M \otimes \phi^*TN$ we have a scalar product induced from ΣM and ϕ^*TN, we will denote its real part by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. The twisted Dirac operator acting on vector spinors is defined as

$$\not{D} := \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i \cdot \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i}.$$

Note that the operator $\not{D}$ is still elliptic. Moreover, we assume that the connection on ϕ^*TN is metric, thus $\not{D}$ is also self-adjoint with respect to the L^2-norm if M is compact. The energy functional for Dirac-harmonic maps with curvature term is given by

$$E_c(\phi, \psi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M (|d\phi|^2 + \langle \psi, \not{D}\psi \rangle - \frac{1}{6} \langle R^N(\psi, \psi)\psi, \psi \rangle) d\mathrm{vol}_g. \tag{5.1}$$

Here, R^N denotes the curvature tensor of the manifold N. The factor $1/6$ in front of the curvature term is required by supersymmetry, see [15]. The indices are contracted as

$$\langle R^N(\psi, \psi)\psi, \psi \rangle = R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \langle \psi^\alpha, \psi^\gamma \rangle \langle \psi^\beta, \psi^\delta \rangle,$$

which ensures that the functional is real valued. The critical points of the energy functional (5.1) are given by

$$\tau(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} R^N(\psi, e_\alpha \cdot \psi) d\phi(e_\alpha) - \frac{1}{12} \langle (\nabla R^N)^\sharp(\psi, \psi)\psi, \psi \rangle, \tag{5.2}$$

$$\not{D}\psi = \frac{1}{3} R^N(\psi, \psi)\psi, \tag{5.3}$$

where $\tau(\phi) \in \Gamma(\phi^*TN)$ is the tension field of the map ϕ and $\sharp\colon \phi^*T^*N \to \phi^*TN$ represents the musical isomorphism. For a derivation see [11], Section II and [9], Proposition 2.1.

Solutions (ϕ, ψ) of the system (5.2), (5.3) are called *Dirac-harmonic maps with curvature term*.

The correct function space for weak solutions of (5.2), (5.3) is

$$\chi(M, N) := W^{1,2}(M, N) \times W^{1,\frac{4}{3}}(M, \Sigma M \otimes \phi^*TN) \times L^4(M, \Sigma M \otimes \phi^*TN).$$

For the domain being a closed surface it was shown in [9] that a weak solution $(\phi, \psi) \in \chi(M, N)$ of (5.2), (5.3) is smooth.

Definition 5.1. A weak Dirac-harmonic map with curvature term $(\phi, \psi) \in \chi(M, N)$ is called *stationary* if it is also a critical point of $E_c(\phi, \psi)$ with respect to domain variations.

To obtain the formula for stationary Dirac-harmonic maps with curvature term we make use of the same methods as before. Since the twist bundle ϕ^*TN does not depend on the metric on M we can use the same methods as in Section 2. Thus, let k be a smooth element of $\mathrm{Sym}(0, 2)$. Again, we will use the notation $\psi_{g+tk} := \beta_{g,g+tk}\psi \in \Gamma(\Sigma M_{g+tk} \otimes \phi^*TN)$.

Lemma 5.2. *The following formula for the variation of the twisted Dirac-energy with respect to the metric holds*

$$\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0}\langle\psi_{g+tk}, \not{D}_{g+tk}\psi_{g+tk}\rangle_{\Sigma_{g+tk}M\otimes\phi^*TN} \tag{5.4}$$
$$= -\frac{1}{4}\langle e_i\cdot\nabla^{\Sigma_g M\otimes\phi^*TN}_{e_j}\psi + e_j\cdot\nabla^{\Sigma_g M\otimes\phi^*TN}_{e_i}\psi, \psi\rangle_{\Sigma_g M\otimes\phi^*TN}k^{ij}$$

with the stress-energy tensor associated to the twisted Dirac energy on the right hand side.

At this point we are ready to compute the variation of the energy functional (5.1) with respect to the metric.

Proposition 5.3. *Let the pair $(\phi,\psi)\in\chi(M,N)$ be a weak Dirac-harmonic map with curvature term. Then (ϕ,ψ) is a stationary Dirac-harmonic map with curvature term if for any smooth $(0,2)$-tensor k the following formula holds*

$$\int_M \big(2\langle d\phi(e_i), d\phi(e_j)\rangle - g_{ij}|d\phi|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\langle\psi, e_i\cdot\nabla^{\Sigma_g M\otimes\phi^*TN}_{e_j}\psi + e_j\cdot\nabla^{\Sigma_g M\otimes\phi^*TN}_{e_i}\psi\rangle \tag{5.5}$$
$$-\frac{1}{6}g_{ij}\langle R^N(\psi,\psi)\psi,\psi\rangle\big)k^{ij})d\mathrm{vol}_g = 0.$$

Proof. We calculate

$$\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0}E_c(\phi,\psi,g+tk) = 0,$$

where k is a symmetric $(0,2)$-tensor and t some small number. Using the variation of the volume-element (2.7) we obtain the variation of the Dirichlet energy

$$\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0}\int_M |d\phi|^2_{g+tk}d\mathrm{vol}_{g+tk} = \int_M \big(-\langle h(d\phi(e_i),d\phi(e_j)), k_{ij}\rangle d\mathrm{vol}_g + \frac{1}{2}|d\phi|^2\langle g,k\rangle_g d\mathrm{vol}_g\big).$$

Note that we get a minus sign in the first term since $d\phi\in\Gamma(T^*M\otimes\phi^*TN)$ such that we have to vary the metric on the cotangent bundle. As a second step, we compute the variation of the Dirac energy using (5.4) and (2.7) yielding

$$\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0}\int_M \langle\psi_{g+tk}, \not{D}_{g+tk}\psi_{g+tk}\rangle d\mathrm{vol}_{g+tk}$$
$$= \int_M -\frac{1}{4}\langle e_i\cdot\nabla^{\Sigma_g M\otimes\phi^*TN}_{e_j}\psi + e_j\cdot\nabla^{\Sigma_g M\otimes\phi^*TN}_{e_i}\psi,\psi\rangle_{\Sigma_g M}k^{ij}d\mathrm{vol}_g + \frac{1}{2}\int_M\langle\psi,\not{D}\psi\rangle\langle g,k\rangle_g d\mathrm{vol}_g.$$

Finally, for the term involving the curvature tensor of the target and the four spinors we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0}\int_M \langle R^N(\psi_{g+tk},\psi_{g+tk})\psi_{g+tk},\psi_{g+tk}\rangle_{g+tk}d\mathrm{vol}_{g+tk}$$
$$= \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0}\int_M \langle R^N(\psi,\psi)\psi,\psi\rangle_{\Sigma_{g+tk}M\otimes\phi^*TN}d\mathrm{vol}_{g+tk}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}\int_M \langle R^N(\psi,\psi)\psi,\psi\rangle_{\Sigma_g M\otimes\phi^*TN}\langle g,k\rangle_g d\mathrm{vol}_g,$$

where we used that β acts as an isometry on the spinor bundle in the first step. Adding up the three contributions and using the fact that (ϕ,ψ) is a weak Dirac-harmonic map with curvature term yields the result. □

5.1. **A Liouville Theorem for stationary solutions.** It is well known that a stationary harmonic map $\mathbb{R}^q\to N$ with finite Dirichlet energy is a constant map [16], Section 5. This result was generalized to stationary Dirac-harmonic maps and here we generalize it to stationary Dirac-harmonic maps with curvature term by adding a curvature assumption. A similar result for smooth Dirac-harmonic maps with curvature term was already obtained in [11], Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 5.4. *Let $M = \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{H}^n$ with $\dim M \geq 3$ and suppose that $(\phi, \psi) \in W^{1,2}_{loc}(M, N) \times W^{1,\frac{4}{3}}_{loc}(M, \Sigma M \otimes \phi^* TN) \times L^4_{loc}(M, \Sigma M \otimes \phi^* TN)$ is a stationary Dirac-harmonic maps with curvature term satisfying*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|d\phi|^2 + |\nabla^{\Sigma M}\psi|^{\frac{4}{3}} + |\psi|^4) d\mathrm{vol}_g < \infty. \tag{5.6}$$

If N has positive sectional curvature then ϕ is constant and ψ vanishes identically.

Proof. Let $\eta \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R})$ be a smooth cut-off function satisfying $\eta = 1$ for $r \leq R$, $\eta = 0$ for $r \geq 2R$ and $|\eta'(r)| \leq \frac{C}{R}$. In addition, we choose $Y(x) := x\eta(r) \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $r = |x|$. Hence, we find

$$k_{ij} = \frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial x^j} = \delta_{ij}\eta(r) + \frac{x_i x_j}{r}\eta'(r).$$

Inserting this into (5.5) and using that (ϕ, ψ) is a weak solution of the system (5.2), (5.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(2-n)\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|d\phi|^2 + \frac{1}{6}\langle R^N(\psi,\psi)\psi,\psi\rangle)\eta(r) d\mathrm{vol}_g = &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|d\phi|^2 - 2\big|\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial r}\big|^2 - \langle\psi, \partial_r \cdot \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_r}\psi\rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{6}\langle R^N(\psi,\psi)\psi,\psi\rangle) r\eta'(r) d\mathrm{vol}_g.\end{aligned}$$

By the properties of the cut-off function η we find (see the proof of Theorem 4.1 for more details)

$$\begin{aligned}(2-n)\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|d\phi|^2 + \frac{1}{6}\langle R^N(\psi,\psi)\psi,\psi\rangle)\eta(r) d\mathrm{vol}_g \leq &C\int_{B_{2R}\setminus B_R} (|d\phi|^2 + |\psi||\tilde{\nabla}\psi| + |\psi|^4) dx \\ \leq &C\int_{B_{2R}\setminus B_R} (|d\phi|^2 + |\nabla^{\Sigma M}\psi|^{\frac{4}{3}} + |\psi|^4) dx.\end{aligned}$$

Due to the finite energy assumption and the fact that $n \geq 3$, taking the limit $R \to \infty$ yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|d\phi|^2 + \frac{1}{6}\langle R^N(\psi,\psi)\psi,\psi\rangle) d\mathrm{vol}_g = 0.$$

The statement follows since N has positive sectional curvature, see [11], p.15 for more details. To obtain the result for hyperbolic space we again apply the theorem of Cartan-Hadamard. □

5.2. **Monotonicity formulas and Liouville Theorems.** In this section we derive a monotonicity formula for Dirac-harmonic maps with curvature term building on their stress-energy tensor. For simplicity, we will mostly assume that (ϕ, ψ) is a smooth Dirac-harmonic map with curvature term. From (5.5) we obtain the stress-energy tensor for the functional $E_c(\phi, \psi)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}S_{ij} =&2\langle d\phi(e_i), d\phi(e_j)\rangle - g_{ij}|d\phi|^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\langle\psi, e_i \cdot \nabla^{\Sigma M\otimes\phi^*TN}_{e_j}\psi + e_j \cdot \nabla^{\Sigma M\otimes\phi^*TN}_{e_i}\psi\rangle - \frac{1}{6}g_{ij}\langle R^N(\psi,\psi)\psi,\psi\rangle.\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

It was shown in [9], Proposition 3.2, that the stress-energy tensor is divergence free when (ϕ, ψ) is a smooth Dirac-harmonic map with curvature term. For a Dirac-harmonic map with curvature term the trace of (5.7) can easily be computed and gives

$$g^{ij}S_{ij} = (2-n)(|d\phi|^2 + \frac{1}{6}\langle R^N(\psi,\psi)\psi,\psi\rangle).$$

Hence, we will consider the following energy

$$e_c(\phi,\psi) := |d\phi|^2 + \frac{1}{6}\langle R^N(\psi,\psi)\psi,\psi\rangle$$

and study its monotonicity. Note that $e_c(\phi, \psi) \geq 0$ if N has positive sectional curvature. Moreover, since for a solution of (5.3)

$$\langle\psi, \not{D}\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{3}\langle R^N(\psi,\psi)\psi,\psi\rangle$$

the assumption that N has positive sectional curvature forces $\not{D}$ to have a positive spectrum.

Proposition 5.5 (Monotonicity formula in $\mathbb{R}^n$)**.** *Let (ϕ,ψ) be a smooth solution of* (5.2),(5.3) *for $M=\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $B_R(x_0)$ be a geodesic ball around the point $x_0\in M$ and $0<R_1<R_2\leq R$. Then the following following monotonicity formula holds*

$$R_2^{2-n}\int_{B_{R_2}} e_c(\phi,\psi)dx = R_1^{2-n}\int_{B_{R_1}} e_c(\phi,\psi)dx - \int_{R_1}^{R_2}\big(r^{2-n}\int_{\partial B_r}(2|\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial r}|^2+\langle\psi,\partial_r\cdot\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_r}\psi\rangle)d\sigma\big)dr. \tag{5.8}$$

Proof. For $M=\mathbb{R}^n$ we choose the conformal vector field $X=r\frac{\partial}{\partial r}$ with $r=|x|$. In this case we have $\operatorname{div}(X)=n$, thus we obtain

$$r\int_{\partial B_r(x_0)}(2|\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial r}|^2+\langle\psi,\partial_r\cdot\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_r}\psi\rangle-e_c(\phi,\psi))dx=(2-n)\int_{B_r(x_0)}(|d\phi|^2+\frac{1}{6}\langle R^N(\psi,\psi)\psi,\psi\rangle)dx,$$

where we used (4.4) and (4.3). This can be rewritten as

$$(2-n)\int_{B_r(x_0)}e_c(\phi,\psi)+r\int_{\partial B_r(x_0)}e_c(\phi,\psi)=r\int_{\partial B_r(x_0)}(2|\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial r}|^2+\langle\psi,\partial_r\cdot\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_r}\psi\rangle)dx$$

and by applying the coarea formula we find

$$\frac{d}{dr}\big(r^{2-n}\int_{B_r}e_c(\phi,\psi)dx\big)=r^{2-n}\int_{\partial B_r}(2|\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial r}|^2+\langle\psi,\partial_r\cdot\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_r}\psi\rangle)d\sigma.$$

The result then follows by integration with respect to r. □

Remark 5.6. The last statement also holds if (ϕ,ψ) is a weak Dirac-harmonic map with curvature term, that is $(\phi,\psi)\in\chi(M,N)$ for $M=\mathbb{R}^n$. It this case we can require higher integrability assumptions on ψ as in [30], Proposition 4.5 to get the following result: Let the pair (ϕ,ψ) be a weak Dirac-harmonic map with curvature term in some domain $D\subset\mathbb{R}^n$. In addition, suppose that $\nabla\psi\in L^p(D)$ for $\frac{2n}{3}<p\leq n$, then

$$R_1^{2-n}\int_{B_{R_1}}e_c(\phi,\psi)dx\leq R_2^{2-n}\int_{B_{R_2}}e_c(\phi,\psi)dx+C_0R_2^{3-\frac{2n}{p}}.$$

Here, the constant C_0 only depends on $|\nabla\psi|_{L^p(D)}$.

A possible application of this monotonicity formula for stationary Dirac-harmonic maps with curvature term is to calculate the Hausdorff dimension of their singular set. For Dirac-harmonic maps this has been carried out in [30], Proposition 4.5.

To derive a monotonicity formula on a Riemannian manifold we again fix a point $x_0\in M$ and consider a ball with geodesic radius $r=d(x_0,\cdot)$ around that point, where d denotes the Riemannian distance function.

Proposition 5.7. *Let (ϕ,ψ) be a smooth solution of the system* (5.2), (5.3)*. Then for all $0<R_1<R_2\leq R$, $R\in(0,i_M)$ the following monotonicity type formula holds*

$$R_1^{\Omega}\int_{B_{R_1}}e_c(\phi,\psi)dx\leq R_2^{\Omega}\int_{B_{R_2}}e_c(\phi,\psi)dx-\int_{R_1}^{R_2}r^{\Omega}\int_{\partial B_r}\big(2|\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial r}|^2+\langle\psi,\partial_r\cdot\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_r}\psi\rangle\big)d\sigma. \tag{5.9}$$

Proof. We apply (4.4), therefore we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\langle S_{ij},\operatorname{Hess}(r^2)(e_i,e_j)\rangle =& -\operatorname{tr}\operatorname{Hess}(r^2)e_c(\phi,\psi)\\ &+\big(2\langle d\phi(e_i),d\phi(e_j)\rangle+\langle\psi,e_i\cdot\tilde{\nabla}_{e_j}\psi+e_j\cdot\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i}\psi\rangle\big)\operatorname{Hess}(r^2)(e_i,e_j).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we again make use of the quantity Ω defined in (4.6). By a calculation similar to one in the proof of Proposition 4.8 we obtain

$$r\int_{\partial B_r}e_c(\phi,\psi)dx+\Omega\int_{B_r}e_c(\phi,\psi)d\sigma\geq r\int_{\partial B_r}\big(2|\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial r}|^2+\langle\psi,\partial_r\cdot\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_r}\psi\rangle\big)d\sigma.$$

Again, making use of the coarea formula this yields

$$\frac{d}{dr}r^\Omega \int_{B_r} e_c(\phi,\psi)dx \geq r^\Omega \int_{\partial B_r} \big(2|\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r}|^2 + \langle \psi, \partial_r \cdot \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_r}\psi\rangle\big)d\sigma$$

and the statement follows by integration with respect to r. □

Again, the presence of the Dirac-Term on the right hand side of (5.9) is an obstacle to a monotonicity formula. We can try to improve the result if we assume that the solution ψ of (5.3) has some additional structure.

Definition 5.8. We call $\psi \in \Gamma(\Sigma M \otimes \phi^* TN)$ a *vector twistor spinor* if it satisfies

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X \psi + \frac{1}{n} X \cdot \not{D}\psi = 0 \tag{5.10}$$

for all vector fields X.

Remark 5.9. If we assume that ψ is both a vector twistor spinor and a solution of (5.3) we find

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X \psi = -\frac{1}{3n} R^N(\psi,\psi)X \cdot \psi,$$

for all vector fields X. Moreover, a direct calculation yields

$$\partial_X \frac{1}{2}|\psi|^2 = \langle \tilde{\nabla}_X \psi, \psi\rangle = -\frac{1}{3n}\langle R^N(\psi,\psi)X\cdot\psi,\psi\rangle = -\frac{1}{3n} R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\langle \psi^\alpha, \psi^\delta\rangle\langle \psi^\beta, X\cdot\psi^\gamma\rangle.$$

On the other hand we find

$$\overline{R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\langle \psi^\alpha, \psi^\delta\rangle\langle \psi^\beta, X\cdot\psi^\gamma\rangle} = R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\langle \psi^\delta, \psi^\alpha\rangle\langle X\cdot\psi^\gamma, \psi^\beta\rangle = -R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\langle \psi^\alpha, \psi^\delta\rangle\langle \psi^\beta, X\cdot\psi^\gamma\rangle.$$

Consequently the above expression is both purely imaginary and also purely real and thus has to vanish, meaning that $|\psi|^2$ has constant norm. Thus, this approach does not lead to an interesting monotonicity formula.

Theorem 5.10. *Let (ϕ,ψ) be a smooth solution of the system (5.2), (5.3). Then for all $0 < R_1 < R_2 \leq R$, $R \in (0, i_M)$ the following monotonicity type formula holds*

$$\begin{aligned} R_1^\Omega \int_{B_{R_1}} e_c(\phi,\psi)dx \leq & R_2^\Omega C \int_{B_{R_2}} (|d\phi|^2 + |\psi|^4 + |\nabla^{\Sigma M}\psi|^{\frac{4}{3}})dx \\ & + C\int_{R_1}^{R_2} \big(r^{\Omega-1}\int_{B_r}(|d\phi|^2 + |\psi|^4 + |\nabla^{\Sigma M}\psi|^{\frac{4}{3}})dx\big)dr, \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

where the constant C only depends on the manifold M.

Proof. First of all, we rewrite the indefinite term in (5.9) using integration by parts:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{R_1}^{R_2} r^\Omega \int_{\partial B_r} \big(2|\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial r}|^2 + \langle\psi, \partial_r\cdot\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_r}\psi\rangle\big)d\sigma &= \int_{R_1}^{R_2} r^\Omega \frac{d}{dr}\int_{B_r}\big(2|\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial r}|^2 + \langle\psi, \partial_r\cdot\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_r}\psi\rangle\big)dx \\ &= R_2^\Omega \int_{B_r}\big(2|\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial r}|^2 + \langle\psi, \partial_r\cdot\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_r}\psi\rangle\big)dx - R_1^\Omega\int_{B_r}\big(2|\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial r}|^2 + \langle\psi, \partial_r\cdot\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_r}\psi\rangle\big)dx \\ &\quad + \int_{R_1}^{R_2} r^{\Omega-1}\int_{B_r}\big(2|\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial r}|^2 + \langle\psi, \partial_r\cdot\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_r}\psi\rangle\big)dx \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side of this equation can be further estimated such that we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{R_1}^{R_2} r^\Omega \int_{\partial B_r} \big(2|\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial r}|^2 + \langle\psi, \partial_r\cdot\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_r}\psi\rangle\big)d\sigma \leq & CR_2^\Omega \int_{B_r}\big(|d\phi|^2 + |\psi|^4 + |\nabla^{\Sigma M}\psi|^{\frac{4}{3}}\big)dx \\ & + C\int_{R_1}^{R_2}\big(r^{\Omega-1}\int_{B_r}\big(|d\phi|^2 + |\psi|^4 + |\nabla^{\Sigma M}\psi|^{\frac{4}{3}}\big)dx\big)dr, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

Remark 5.11. There is another way to bound $\int_{B_r} |\nabla^{\Sigma M}\psi|^{\frac{4}{3}}dx$. Using the local form of the equation for the vector spinor (5.3) we obtain

$$|D\psi| \leq C(|d\phi||\psi| + |\psi|^3),$$

where D denotes the classical Dirac operator. Via elliptic estimates this yields

$$|\nabla^{\Sigma M}\psi|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}} \leq C(|d\phi|_{L^2} + |\psi|_{L^4} + |\psi|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}}).$$

Theorem 5.12. *Let (ϕ, ψ) be a smooth solution of the system (5.2), (5.3) and suppose that N has positive sectional curvature. If*

$$R^{\Omega}\int_{B_R}(|d\phi|^2 + |\psi|^4 + |\nabla^{\Sigma M}\psi|^{\frac{4}{3}})dx \to 0 \tag{5.12}$$

as $R \to \infty$, then ϕ maps to a point and ψ is a trivial.

Proof. This follows directly from (5.11) and the assumption. □

5.3. **A Liouville Theorem for a domain with positive Ricci curvature.** In this section we derive a vanishing theorem for Dirac-harmonic maps with curvature term under an energy and curvature assumption, similar to Theorem 4.12. To this end we set

$$e(\phi, \psi) := \frac{1}{2}(|d\phi|^2 + |\psi|^4).$$

Lemma 5.13 (Bochner formulas)**.** *Let (ϕ, ψ) be a smooth solution of the system (5.2), (5.3). Then the following Bochner formulas hold*

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\frac{1}{2}|\psi|^4 =&\big|d|\psi|^2\big|^2 + 2|\psi|^2|\tilde{\nabla}\psi|^2 + \frac{2}{9}|\psi|^2|R^N(\psi,\psi)\psi|^2 + \frac{R}{2}|\psi|^4 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}|\psi|^2\langle e_i\cdot e_j\cdot R^N(d\phi(e_i), d\phi(e_j))\psi, \psi\rangle,\end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\frac{1}{2}|d\phi|^2 =&|\nabla d\phi|^2 + \langle d\phi(Ric^M(e_i)), d\phi(e_i)\rangle - \langle R^N(d\phi(e_i), d\phi(e_j))d\phi(e_i), d\phi(e_j)\rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\langle(\nabla_{d\phi(e_j)}R^N)(e_i\cdot\psi,\psi)d\phi(e_i), d\phi(e_j)\rangle + \langle R^N(e_i\cdot\psi, \tilde{\nabla}_{e_j}\psi)d\phi(e_i), d\phi(e_j)\rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\langle R^N(e_i\cdot\psi,\psi)\nabla_{e_j}d\phi(e_i), d\phi(e_j)\rangle + \frac{1}{12}\langle\langle(\nabla_{d\phi(e_i)}(\nabla R^N)^\sharp)(\psi,\psi)\psi,\psi\rangle, d\phi(e_i)\rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{3}\langle\langle(\nabla R^N)^\sharp(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i}\psi,\psi)\psi,\psi\rangle, d\phi(e_i)\rangle,\end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

where $e_i, i = 1\ldots, n$ is an orthonormal basis of TM.

Proof. We choose a local orthonormal basis of TM such that $\nabla_{e_i}e_j = 0, i, j = 1, \ldots, n$ at the considered point. The fist equation follows by a direct calculation using the Weitzenböck formula for the twisted Dirac-operator $\not{D}$, that is

$$\not{D}^2\psi = -\tilde{\Delta}\psi + \frac{1}{4}R\psi + \frac{1}{2}e_i\cdot e_j\cdot R^N(d\phi(e_i), d\phi(e_j))\psi,$$

where $\tilde{\Delta}$ denotes the connection Laplacian on the vector bundle $\Sigma M\otimes\phi^*TN$. To obtain the second equation we recall the following Bochner formula for a map $\phi\colon M\to N$

$$\Delta\frac{1}{2}|d\phi|^2 = |\nabla d\phi|^2 + \langle d\phi(\mathrm{Ric}^M(e_i)), d\phi(e_i)\rangle - \langle R^N(d\phi(e_i), d\phi(e_j))d\phi(e_i), d\phi(e_j)\rangle + \langle\nabla\tau(\phi), d\phi\rangle.$$

Moreover, by a direct calculation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\nabla}_{e_j}\big(\frac{1}{2}R^N(e_i\cdot\psi,\psi)d\phi(e_i)\big) =&\frac{1}{2}(\nabla_{d\phi(e_j)}R^N)(e_i\cdot\psi,\psi)d\phi(e_i) + R^N(e_i\cdot\psi,\tilde{\nabla}_{e_j}\psi)d\phi(e_i) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}R^N(e_i\cdot\psi,\psi)\nabla_{e_j}d\phi(e_i),\end{aligned}$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{e_j}\big(\frac{1}{12}\langle(\nabla R^N)^\sharp(\psi,\psi)\psi,\psi\rangle\big) = \frac{1}{12}\langle(\nabla_{d\phi(e_j)}(\nabla R^N)^\sharp)(\psi,\psi)\psi,\psi\rangle + \frac{1}{3}\langle(\nabla R^N)^\sharp(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_j}\psi,\psi)\psi,\psi\rangle,$$

which concludes the proof. □

Corollary 5.14. *Let (ϕ,ψ) be a smooth solution of the system (5.2), (5.3). Then the following estimate holds:*

$$\Delta e(\phi,\psi) \geq c_1(|\nabla d\phi|^2 + \big|d|\psi|^2\big|^2) - c_2 e(\phi,\psi) - c_3(e(\phi,\psi))^2, \tag{5.15}$$

where $c_i, i=1,2,3$ are positive constants that depend only on the geometry of M and N.

Proof. Making use of the Bochner formulas we find

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta e(\phi,\psi) \geq & |\nabla d\phi|^2 + \kappa_M |d\phi|^2 + \kappa_N |d\phi|^4 - \frac{|\nabla R^N|_{L^\infty}\sqrt{n}}{2}|\psi|^2|d\phi|^3 - |R^N|_{L^\infty}\sqrt{n}|\psi||\tilde{\nabla}\psi||d\phi|^2 \\ & - \frac{|R^N|_{L^\infty}\sqrt{n}}{2}|\psi|^2|\nabla d\phi||d\phi| - \frac{|\nabla^2 R^N|_{L^\infty}}{12}|\psi|^4|d\phi|^2 - \frac{|\nabla R^N|_{L^\infty}}{3}|\tilde{\nabla}\psi||\psi|^3|d\phi| \\ & + \big|d|\psi|^2\big|^2 + 2|\psi|^2|\tilde{\nabla}\psi|^2 + \frac{2}{9}|\psi|^2|R^N(\psi,\psi)\psi|^2 + \frac{R}{2}|\psi|^4 - \frac{n|R^N|_{L^\infty}}{2}|\psi|^4|d\phi|^2,\end{aligned}$$

where κ_M denotes a lower bound for the Ricci curvature of M and κ_N an upper bound for the sectional curvature of N. By application of Young's inequality we find

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta e(\phi,\psi) \geq & (1-\delta_1)|\nabla d\phi|^2 + |\psi|^2|\tilde{\nabla}\psi|^2(2-\delta_2-\delta_3) + \big|d|\psi|^2\big|^2 \\ & + \frac{2}{9}|\psi|^2|R^N(\psi,\psi)\psi|^2 + \frac{R}{2}|\psi|^4 + \kappa_M|d\phi|^2 \\ & - |d\phi|^4(-\kappa_N + \frac{1}{\delta_2}\frac{n}{4}|R^N|^2_{L^\infty} + \delta_4) \\ & - |\psi|^4|d\phi|^2\big(\frac{n}{8\delta_1}|R^N|^2_{L^\infty} + \frac{1}{\delta_3}\frac{|\nabla R^N|^2_{L^\infty}}{36} + \frac{|\nabla^2 R^N|_{L^\infty}}{12} + \frac{n|R^N|_{L^\infty}}{2} + \frac{n}{8\delta_4}|\nabla R^N|^2_{L^\infty}\big)\end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

with positive constants $\delta_i, i=1,\dots 4$. The statement then follows by applying Young's inequality again. □

Remark 5.15.
(1) The analytic structure of (5.15) is the same as in the case of harmonic maps.
(2) If we want to derive a Liouville Theorem from (5.15) making only assumptions on the geometry of M and N we would require that both $c_2 \leq 0$ and $c_3 \leq 0$. However, it can easily be checked that we cannot achieve such an estimate since the curvature tensor of N appears on the right hand side of the system (5.2) and (5.3).

However, we can give a Liouville theorem under similar assumptions as in Theorem 4.12. A similar Theorem for Dirac-harmonic maps was obtained in [13], Theorem 4.

Theorem 5.16. *Let (M,g) be a complete noncompact Riemannian spin manifold and (N,h) be a Riemannian manifold with nonpositive curvature. Suppose that (ϕ,ψ) is a Dirac-harmonic map with curvature term with finite energy $e(\phi,\psi)$. If*

$$\mathrm{Ric}_M \geq (c_1|\psi|^4 + c_2|d\phi|^2)g, \tag{5.17}$$

with the constants

$$\begin{aligned}c_1 =& \frac{n}{2}|R^N|_{L^\infty} + \frac{n}{16}|R^N|^2_{L^\infty} + \big(\frac{1}{36}+\frac{n}{8}\big)|\nabla R^N|^2_{L^\infty} + \frac{|\nabla^2 R^N|_{L^\infty}}{12}, \\ c_2 =& \frac{n}{4}|R^N|^2_{L^\infty} + 1\end{aligned}$$

then ϕ maps to a point and ψ vanishes identically.

Proof. First of all we note that

$$\begin{aligned}|de(\phi,\psi)|^2 = |\frac{1}{2}d(|d\phi|^2+|\psi|^4)|^2 \leq & (|d\phi|^2|\nabla d\phi|^2 + |\psi|^4\big|d|\psi|^2\big|^2 + 2|d\phi||\nabla d\phi||\psi|^2\big|d|\psi|^2\big|) \\ \leq & 2e(\phi,\psi)(|\nabla d\phi|^2 + \big|d|\psi|^2\big|^2).\end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

If we put $\delta_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \delta_2 = \delta_3 = \delta_4 = 1$ in (5.16) we find

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta e(\phi,\psi) \geq & \frac{1}{2}(|\nabla d\phi|^2 + \big|d|\psi|^2\big|^2) \\ & + |d\phi|^2\bigg(\mathrm{Ric}_M - |\psi|^4\big(\frac{n}{2}|R^N|_{L^\infty} + \frac{n}{16}|R^N|^2_{L^\infty} + \big(\frac{1}{36} + \frac{n}{8}\big)|\nabla R^N|^2_{L^\infty} + \frac{|\nabla^2 R^N|_{L^\infty}}{12}\big)g \\ & + |d\phi|^2\big(1 + \frac{n}{4}|R^N|^2_{L^\infty}\big)g\bigg)\end{aligned}$$

Making use of the assumption (5.17) this yields

$$\Delta e(\phi,\psi) \geq \delta(|\nabla d\phi|^2 + \big|d|\psi|^2\big|^2) \tag{5.19}$$

for a positive constant δ. We fix a positive number $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\sqrt{\delta e(\phi,\psi) + \epsilon} = & \frac{\delta\Delta e(\phi,\psi)}{2\sqrt{e(\phi,\psi)+\epsilon}} - \frac{1}{4}\frac{\delta^2|\nabla e(\phi,\psi)|^2}{(e(\phi,\psi)+\epsilon)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ \geq & \delta^2\frac{|\nabla d\phi|^2 + \big|d|\psi|^2\big|^2}{2\sqrt{e(\phi,\psi)+\epsilon}}\big(1 - \frac{e(\phi,\psi)}{e(\phi,\psi)+\epsilon}\big) \geq 0,\end{aligned}$$

where we used (5.18) and (5.19). The rest of the proof is identical to the proof of Theorem 4.12. □

References

[1] Bernd Ammann. The smallest Dirac eigenvalue in a spin-conformal class and cmc immersions. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 17(3):429–479, 2009.

[2] Christian Bär. Real Killing spinors and holonomy. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 154(3):509–521, 1993.

[3] Christian Bär. Zero sets of solutions to semilinear elliptic systems of first order. *Invent. Math.*, 138(1):183–202, 1999.

[4] Christian Bär, Paul Gauduchon, and Andrei Moroianu. Generalized cylinders in semi-Riemannian and Spin geometry. *Math. Z.*, 249(3):545–580, 2005.

[5] Jean-Pierre Bourguignon and Paul Gauduchon. Spineurs, opérateurs de Dirac et variations de métriques. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 144(3):581–599, 1992.

[6] Volker Branding. Energy estimates for the supersymmetric nonlinear sigma model and applications. *arXiv:1506.06973, accepted for publication in Potential Analysis.*

[7] Volker Branding. An estimate on the nodal set of eigenspinors on closed surfaces. *arxiv:1510.01200.*

[8] Volker Branding. Magnetic Dirac-harmonic maps. *Anal. Math. Phys.*, 5(1):23–37, 2015.

[9] Volker Branding. Some aspects of Dirac-harmonic maps with curvature term. *Differential Geom. Appl.*, 40:1–13, 2015.

[10] Volker Branding. Dirac-harmonic maps with torsion. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 18(4):1550064, 19, 2016.

[11] Q. Chen, J. Jost, and G. Wang. Liouville theorems for Dirac-harmonic maps. *J. Math. Phys.*, 48(11):113517, 13, 2007.

[12] Qun Chen, Jürgen Jost, Jiayu Li, and Guofang Wang. Dirac-harmonic maps. *Math. Z.*, 254(2):409–432, 2006.

[13] Qun Chen, Jürgen Jost, and Linlin Sun. Gradient estimates and Liouville theorems for Dirac-harmonic maps. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 76:66–78, 2014.

[14] Qun Chen, Jürgen Jost, and Guofang Wang. Nonlinear Dirac equations on Riemann surfaces. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 33(3):253–270, 2008.

[15] Pierre Deligne, Pavel Etingof, Daniel S. Freed, Lisa C. Jeffrey, David Kazhdan, John W. Morgan, David R. Morrison, and Edward Witten, editors. *Quantum fields and strings: a course for mathematicians. Vol. 1, 2.* American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; Institute for Advanced Study (IAS), Princeton, NJ, 1999. Material from the Special Year on Quantum Field Theory held at the Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, NJ, 1996–1997.

[16] Wolf-Dieter Garber, Simon N. M. Ruijsenaars, Erhard Seiler, and Dan Burns. On finite action solutions of the nonlinear σ-model. *Ann. Physics*, 119(2):305–325, 1979.

[17] D. J. Gross and A. Neveu. Dynamical symmetry breaking in asymptotically free field theories. *Phys. Rev. D*, 10:3235–3253, November 1974.

[18] Takeshi Isobe. Existence results for solutions to nonlinear Dirac equations on compact spin manifolds. *Manuscripta Math.*, 135(3-4):329–360, 2011.

[19] Takeshi Isobe. Nonlinear Dirac equations with critical nonlinearities on compact Spin manifolds. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 260(1):253–307, 2011.

[20] Takeshi Isobe. A perturbation method for spinorial Yamabe type equations on S^m and its application. *Math. Ann.*, 355(4):1255–1299, 2013.
[21] Takeshi Isobe. Spinorial Yamabe type equations on S^3 via Conley index. *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.*, 15(1):39–60, 2015.
[22] Eui Chul Kim and Thomas Friedrich. The Einstein-Dirac equation on Riemannian spin manifolds. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 33(1-2):128–172, 2000.
[23] H. Blaine Lawson, Jr. and Marie-Louise Michelsohn. *Spin geometry*, volume 38 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989.
[24] Hezi Lin, Guilin Yang, Yibin Ren, and Tian Chong. Monotonicity formulae and Liouville theorems of harmonic maps with potential. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 62(9):1939–1948, 2012.
[25] Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio. Dynamical Model of Elementary Particles Based on an Analogy with Superconductivity. I. *Physical Review*, 122:345–358, April 1961.
[26] Richard Schoen and Shing Tung Yau. Harmonic maps and the topology of stable hypersurfaces and manifolds with non-negative Ricci curvature. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 51(3):333–341, 1976.
[27] Mario Soler. Classical, stable, nonlinear spinor field with positive rest energy. *Phys. Rev. D*, 1:2766–2769, May 1970.
[28] W. E. Thirring. A soluble relativistic field theory. *Annals of Physics*, 3:91–112, January 1958.
[29] Changyou Wang. A remark on nonlinear Dirac equations. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 138(10):3753–3758, 2010.
[30] Changyou Wang and Deliang Xu. Regularity of Dirac-harmonic maps. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (20):3759–3792, 2009.
[31] Shing Tung Yau. Some function-theoretic properties of complete Riemannian manifold and their applications to geometry. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 25(7):659–670, 1976.
[32] Jürgen Jost ; Lei Liu ; Miaomiao Zhu. Geometric analysis of the action functional of the nonlinear supersymmetric sigma model. Preprint, Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences, 2015.

TU Wien, Institut für diskrete Mathematik und Geometrie, Wiedner Hauptstrasse 8–10, A-1040 Wien

E-mail address: volker@geometrie.tuwien.ac.at